

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

_____		)	
HAMED SUFYAN OTHMAN	)		
ALMAQRAMI, <i>et al.</i> ,	)		
	)		
Plaintiffs,	)		
	)		
v.	)	Civil Action No. 1:17-cv-01533-TSC	
	)		
REX W. TILLERSON, in his official	)		
capacity as Secretary of State, <i>et al.</i> ,	)		
	)		
Defendants.	)		
_____		)	

**DEFENDANTS’ RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS’ STATUS UPDATE**

Defendants, by and through undersigned counsel, respectfully submit this Response to Plaintiffs’ Status Update (ECF No. 60) (hereinafter, “Plaintiffs’ Status Update” or “Pls.’ Status Update”). Contrary to Plaintiffs’ suggestion, the Ninth Circuit’s decision in *Hawaii v. Trump*, — F.3d —, 2017 WL 6554184 (9th Cir. Dec. 22, 2017) (per curiam), does not support denial of Defendants’ motion to dismiss.

First, the Ninth Circuit’s decision has no relevance to the fact that Plaintiffs’ alleged injury is not redressable by this Court, given that the fiscal year has ended, and that their claims are therefore moot. *See Zixiang Li v. Kerry*, 710 F. 3d 995, 1002 (9th Cir. 2013) (noting how “[t]here is no statute or regulation authorizing [the State Department] to take a visa number from one year and allocate it to another year” and specifically discussing the diversity-visa lottery).

Second, because the Plaintiffs’ amended complaint (ECF No. 46) does not challenge the proclamation at issue in *Hawaii*, that decision is not directly applicable here.

Finally, to the extent that this Court concludes the Ninth Circuit's decision in *Hawai'i* may be relevant because that case involves similar legal issues, the Ninth Circuit's decision nevertheless does not support denial of Defendants' pending motion to dismiss (ECF No. 53). Indeed, the Supreme Court granted a stay of the district court's order enjoining the enforcement of the proclamation pending potential Supreme Court review, *Trump v. Hawaii*, No. 17A550, 2017 WL 5987406 (Dec. 4, 2017), which suggests that the Government established a likelihood of success on the merits of those legal issues. At most, however, if this Court is of the view that the Supreme Court's potential review in *Hawaii* could be relevant, this Court should stay its resolution of Defendants' motion to dismiss until after the Supreme Court has decided whether to grant the Government's petition for certiorari (filed January 5, 2018) and, if granted, pending its ultimate disposition.

Respectfully submitted,

CHAD A. READLER  
Acting Assistant Attorney General

CATHERINE H. DORSEY  
Counsel to the Assistant Attorney General

WILLIAM C. PEACHEY  
Director

GISELA A. WESTWATER  
Assistant Director

STEVEN A. PLATT  
Trial Attorney

By: /s/ Joshua S. Press  
JOSHUA S. PRESS  
Trial Attorney  
United States Department of Justice  
Civil Division  
Office of Immigration Litigation  
District Court Section

P.O. Box 868, Ben Franklin Station  
Washington, DC 20044  
Phone: (202) 305-0106  
e-Mail: [joshua.press@usdoj.gov](mailto:joshua.press@usdoj.gov)

Dated: January 12, 2018

*Attorneys for Defendants*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on January 12, 2018, I electronically filed this document with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system, which will provide electronic notice and an electronic link to this document to all attorneys of record.

By: /s/ Joshua S. Press  
JOSHUA S. PRESS  
Trial Attorney